

**COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MAY 16, 2011**

Agenda Item E. Communications Received

The attached correspondence has been submitted by the public to the Redistricting Advisory Committee and is not directly related to a specific item on the agenda. The attachment includes correspondence received from May 4, 2011 at noon to May 12, 2011 at noon.

The only action for the Committee to take is to note and file the receipt of the correspondence.

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2011 10:07 AM
To: RJ
Cc: Potter, Andrew
Subject: RE: Suggestion for Redistricting

Dear RJ,

Thank you for your e-mail about separating the western part of the County. Your comments will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA

Redistricting Project Manager

County of San Diego

(619) 531-5276

redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

-----Original Message-----

From: RJ [<mailto:rj.public@gmail.com>]

Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2011 5:32 PM

To: CSG, Redistricting 2011; R.D. Hernandez

Subject: Suggestion for Redistricting

I propose that the supervisorial districts of the Western part of the County be separated in these ways:

1. Separate supervisorial districts covering the coastal communities and
2. Separate supervisorial districts covering the inland communities

As the needs are different and otherwise they will be diluted.

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2011 4:02 PM
To: donchristiansen@pacbell.net
Cc: 'Dennisridz@hotmail.com'; Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: FW: Re-districting

Dear Mr. Christiansen,

Thank you for your email about keeping Carlsbad in one district, together with the North County Coastal communities. Your comments will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Dennis Ridz [<mailto:dennisridz@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2011 3:39 PM
To: Potter, Andrew
Subject: FW: Re-districting

can you add this to the suggests

Date: Fri, 6 May 2011 15:35:19 -0700
From: donchristiansen@pacbell.net
Subject: Re-districting
To: Dennisridz@hotmail.com

Hello Dennis!

Good to meet you this morning.

I am a member of the Carlsbad Chamber of Commerce, and am active in the Sustainability and Governmental Affairs committees. At this weeks meeting of the Governmental Affairs committee the subject of County Supervisors Districts was discussed. The consensus of the committee was that it would be best for all of Carlsbad to be in the same district.

In my opinion it would make sense for all the North County coastal communities to be in the same district since we share many commonalities, including Coastal Commission jurisdiction.

Best,

Don Christiansen
760-802-0552
www.borregosolar.com

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 7:58 AM
To: Cecilia Carrick
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L; Haas, Mikel
Subject: RE: Keep Point Loma in the First Supervisorial District

Dear Dr. Carrick,

Thank you for your email about keeping the community of Point Loma in the First District. Your comments will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Cecilia Carrick [<mailto:carrick@cox.net>]
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2011 2:25 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Keep Point Loma in the First Supervisorial District

To: San Diego County Redistricting Board 2011
From: The Point Loma Association and the citizens of Point Loma

The community of Point Loma is currently included in the San Diego County First Supervisorial District, along with downtown, Coronado, Chula Vista, Imperial Beach and other communities. Notably, the First District encompasses San Diego International Airport and the San Diego Bay.

The process of redistricting may entail a separation of Point Loma from the remainder of the First District. The residents of Point Loma strongly support retaining our community within the First District, since matters involving the airport and the San Diego Bay are of such integral importance to our own neighborhood. Indeed, no other area of the county is so deeply impacted by decisions involving the airport as our own Point Loma.

We recognize the necessity of periodically redrawing district boundaries in order to maintain appropriate populations in each district. However, we believe that it is crucial and imperative that Point Loma remains a vibrant, involved and committed component of the First District. Our citizens are deeply involved in matters affecting the airport and are passionately protective of the San Diego Bay.

The Point Loma Association, representing the residents and businesses of this community, endorses this position and urges that the area be retained in the First District. Due to time constraints, a limited but general sampling of Point Loma neighbors and supporters voiced their support to keep Point Loma in the San Diego County First Supervisorial District via the attached petition.

On behalf of the PLA and the entire Point Loma community I urge you to retain Point Loma within the First Supervisorial District.

Respectfully submitted,

Cecilia O. Carrick, M.D.
Chairperson-elect, Point Loma Association
3333 Harbor View Drive
San Diego, CA 92106-2919
619-222-2254

Redistricting Citizens' Task Force of the San Diego County Board of Supervisors

We the undersigned support the Point Loma Association in urging you to retain the community of Point Loma in the First Supervisorial District of San Diego County.

The First District encompasses the San Diego International Airport and the San Diego Bay. Our Point Loma community is deeply impacted by matters involving the airport and is passionately protective of the San Diego Bay. We wish to remain in the district that most clearly reflects our interests and civic involvement.

Thank you for addressing the needs and wishes of the Point Loma community.

	Name	From	Comments
1.	Stanley Nadel	San Diego, CA	
2.	Daffy McGee	South Gate, CA	
3.	DobieMax Wojcik	Boston, MA	
4.	Kerri De Rosier	San Diego, CA	We like being represented by Greg Cox! We need to be represented by someone who also represents the Bay and airport.
5.	Dalia Hettfield	South Gate, CA	
6.	Donna Kaup	San Diego, CA	I belong to the First District and do NOT want to change!! Donna Kaup
7.	Cecilia Carrick	San Diego, CA	Point Loma belongs in the same district as the Airport/San Diego Bay and that is the First Supervisorial District!
8.	Andy Hollingworth	San Diego, CA	Our community has a long standing relationship with Greg Cox who represents us well. Please keep us in our current district.
9.	Dorothea Laub	San Diego, CA	
10.	Cecil Shuffler	San Diego, CA	Point Loma and Ocean Beach need to maintain their distinctive voices as part of District 1. What other community is more impacted by the airport than Point Loma/Ocean Beach? None! Our community voices need to be heard loud and strong.
11.	Mary Rutland	San Diego, CA	
12.	Ronald Polk	San Diego, CA	Now then, This IS silliness
13.	Teresa Frey	San Diego, CA	
14.	Klonie Kunzel	San Diego, CA	
15.	William Ross Stone	San Diego, CA	
16.	Edward Zell	San Diego, CA	Point Loma is an integral part of the airport/San Diego Bay community and must remain in the First Supervisorial District

	Name	From	Comments
17.	Deborah Hall	san diego, CA	
18.	Myrna Bossler	San Diego, CA	
19.	KATHRYN ASHWORTH	SAN DIEGO, CA	
20.	CAROLYN KUTZKE	San Diego, CA	STAY IN FIRST DIST
21.	Eve Pritchard	San Diego, CA	
22.	Jane Loveday	San Diego, CA	We DO NOT want to be annexed - we are part of the 'Point', not the rest of San Diego!
23.	Marcia Haas	San Diego, CA	
24.	Sandra Winter	San Diego, CA	Keep us in district 1
25.	Patricia Pettijohn	San Diego, CA	
26.	Carol Sands	San Diego, CA	
27.	Ronald Brooks	San Diego, CA	
28.	Leona Levy	San Diego, CA	Point Loma should definitely remain in Dlst.! We are most effected by any changes at Lindburgh Field
29.	Alex Tan	Richmond, Canada	
30.	Joan Reynolds	San Diego, CA	
31.	Jody Applebaum	San Diego, CA	We want to remain apart of the First District. No changes...
32.	Karan Greenwald	San Diego, CA	We want to remain a part of this district. Who is most affected by the airport? The Bay is our backyard & we should have a voice in who our elected "gardener" is!
33.	Lynlee Austell	San Diego, CA	
34.	Anne Hill	San Diego, CA	It is impossible to imagine separating PL from the bay. It is so much a part of our identity.
35.	Pam Kelly	San Diego, CA	
36.	Nancy F McCune	San Diego, CA	
37.	Bonnie Cole	San Diego, CA	
38.	john bruhn	san diego, CA	
39.	barbara smith	san diego, CA	
40.	Joye Blount	San Diego, CA	
41.	Carey Pratt	San Diego, CA	
42.	Karen Wheeler	San Diego, CA	I do not agree with redistricting and want Point Loma to remain in District)ne.
43.	Karen Davis	San Diego, CA	Please leave Pt. Loma in District 1!
44.	Gaye Macy	San Diego, CA	
45.	Guadalupe Cohn	San Diego, CA	
46.	Kristi Burns	San Diego, CA	
47.	Linda Plues	SD, CA	

	Name	From	Comments
48.	Richard Plues	San Diego, CA	
49.	Janet Baldauf	San Diego, CA	
50.	Michael Busch	San Diego, CA	
51.	Linda Fox	San Diego, CA	It is vital for Point Loma to be in the same district as the airport and San Diego Bay. Both have significant impact on our neighborhood.
52.	Paul Grimes	San Diego, CA	Stop the Gerrymandering and let our voices be heard. Keep The peninsula together with the Bay and Airport.
53.	Tim Tuter	San Diego, CA	Keep Point Loma in the same District as the Airport and San Diego Bay.
54.	marlene herndon	san diego, CA	
55.	Janice Kaufman	San Diego, CA	
56.	Byron Wear	San Diego, CA	As the oldest community of San Diego - dating back to the landing of Cabrillo and the development of the historic La Playa Trail, Point Loma and the County of San Diego are best served with the entire Point Loma community remaining in one County Supervisorial District.
57.	lawrence baumann	san diego, CA	
58.	Paul Kissel	SAn Dlego, CA	
59.	Theodore Kay	San Diego, CA	
60.	nicki sharpe	san diego, CA	
61.	Betty Allman	San Diego, CA	We need to vote with District One!!!!
62.	Shirley Haimsohn	San Diego, CA	I want a say in airport decisions and bay.
63.	Carolyn Irby	San Diego, CA	
64.	Susan Baumann	San Diego, CA	
65.	Jerry Symanski	SanDiego, CA	
66.	Tom Baldauf	San Diego, Vanuatu	
67.	Steve Ravellette	San Diego, CA	As the oldest community of San Diego - dating back to the landing of Cabrillo and the development of the historic La Playa Trail, Point Loma and the County of San Diego are best served with the entire Point Loma community remaining in one County Supervisorial District.
68.	shelley goren	san diego, CA	
69.	Pam Boland	Grovetown, GA	
70.	Kelly Becker	San Diego, CA	

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 8:00 AM
To: Mercedes Martin
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Haas, Mikel; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: Redistricting Committee

Dear Ms. Martin,

Thank you for your email about keeping the City of Carlsbad entirely in one district and keeping the north coastal communities together. Your comments will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Mercedes Martin [<mailto:mercmartin@pacbell.net>]
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2011 7:43 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Redistricting Committee

Good Evening,

I would like to express my opinion that it makes sense for ALL of Carlsbad to be in one district. If possible, it also makes sense for the North Coastal communities (Del Mar, Solana Beach, Encinitas, Carlsbad & Oceanside) to be in the same district since we are all beach communities that have similar issues, and we are also fall into the jurisdiction of the California Coastal Commission.

If you would please add my comments into the record and consider them as the redistricting work begins. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mercedes Martin
3715 Longview, Carlsbad

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 1:54 PM
To: Denise Ducheny
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: Redistricting Plan Submittal
Attachments: County Redistricting Narrative.docx; County Redistricting Plan.xls; Proposed Redistricting Plan Submittal.docx

Dear Ms. Ducheny,

Thank you for your redistricting plan submittal. Your documents are in acceptable form and will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee for discussion at their next meeting of May 16, 2011. Additionally, a map generated from your plan will be posted online to the County's Redistricting Plan Viewer at <http://98.175.249.116/PlanViewer/> as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Denise Ducheny [<mailto:dmducheny@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 12:05 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submittal

Attn: NicoleTemple

Per our telephone conversation this morning, attached are 3 documents - a copy of your Plan Submittal Form from the Website in Word format, a word document with the Narrative considered an attachment to the form, and an XL file with census tract listings for the plan proposed.

Please notify me by phone and/or email that you have received these documents, they are in acceptable form for the Commission's consideration, and they are all readable upon opening, so that we may remedy any issues today prior to your 5pm deadline for submissions.

Thank you for your prompt response both to my phone call this morning and to this email.

Sincerely,

Denise Moreno Ducheny
dmducheny@gmail.com

Redistricting Submittal Narrative

Concentrating Communities of Interest

Denise Moreno Ducheny

05/09/2011

This plan uses 2010 U.S. Census Redistricting Data set. It is constructed using census tracts, not broken down at census block level, which results in a few instances of cities not being completely whole at the rural margins and minimal overlap of City of San Diego into the two Districts that are almost wholly outside the City limits. Should the Commission choose to use block level data these small anomalies could be remedied without undermining the integrity of the Plan's concept.

The Plan creates districts which are compact in the urban and suburban core of the County, with a single District uniting the Rural and Desert Regions of the County. The districts are built on communities of interest in the South County Border Region, the North County 78 corridor, and uniting the City of San Diego central and northern communities with respective, adjacent communities with common interests.

It also protects minority voting strength and the associated community of interest of large Latino populations, with a 59% Latino population in the South County District and a 36% Latino community in the North County District. Additionally, the rural district protects the community of interest embodied in the 18 Tribal Governments, nearly all of which are located in a single district under this plan. The Central San Diego District 4 is reflects the City's diversity with a multiple minority majority.

Proposed Redistricting Plan Submittal
County of San Diego
2011 Supervisorial Districts

SUBMITTER'S INFORMATION

Date 05/09/2011

Name Denise Moreno Ducheny Title CA State Senator, Retired.

Organization _____

Address 127 Evergreen Ave.

City Imperial Beach State CA Zip 91932

Phone 619-823-1661 Phone Extension _____

Email: dmducheny@gmail.com

NOTE: Information provided is part of public record and subject to disclosure.

PLAN TITLE

Concentrating Communities of Interest

PLAN ELEMENTS

Narrative to be completed by the proposed plan author(s). Attach additional pages if needed.

Describe your proposed plan and note any data sources used.

Please See Attached Narrative.

6073000100	4
6073000201	4
6073000202	4
6073000300	4
6073000400	4
6073000500	4
6073000600	4
6073000700	4
6073000800	4
6073000900	4
6073001000	4
6073001100	4
6073001200	4
6073001300	4
6073001400	4
6073001500	4
6073001600	4
6073001700	4
6073001800	4
6073001900	4
6073002001	4
6073002002	4
6073002100	4
6073002201	4
6073002202	4
6073002301	4
6073002302	4
6073002401	4
6073002402	4
6073002501	4
6073002502	4
6073002601	4
6073002602	4
6073002702	4
6073002703	4
6073002705	4
6073002707	4
6073002708	4
6073002709	4
6073002710	4
6073002711	4
6073002712	4
6073002801	4
6073002803	4
6073002804	4
6073002902	4
6073002903	4

6073002904	4
6073002905	4
6073003001	4
6073003003	4
6073003004	4
6073003101	4
6073003103	4
6073003105	4
6073003107	4
6073003108	4
6073003109	4
6073003111	4
6073003112	4
6073003113	4
6073003114	4
6073003115	4
6073003201	1
6073003202	1
6073003204	1
6073003207	1
6073003208	1
6073003209	1
6073003211	1
6073003212	1
6073003213	4
6073003214	4
6073003301	1
6073003303	1
6073003304	1
6073003305	1
6073003401	4
6073003403	1
6073003404	1
6073003501	1
6073003502	1
6073003601	1
6073003602	1
6073003603	1
6073003800	1
6073003901	1
6073003902	1
6073004000	1
6073004100	1
6073004200	4
6073004300	4
6073004400	4
6073004501	1

6073004600	1
6073004700	1
6073004800	1
6073004900	1
6073005000	1
6073005100	1
6073005200	1
6073005300	1
6073005400	1
6073005500	4
6073005600	4
6073005700	4
6073005800	4
6073005900	4
6073006000	4
6073006100	4
6073006200	4
6073006300	4
6073006500	4
6073006600	4
6073006801	4
6073006802	4
6073006900	4
6073007002	4
6073007100	4
6073007200	4
6073007301	4
6073007302	4
6073007400	4
6073007501	4
6073007502	4
6073007600	4
6073007701	4
6073007702	4
6073007800	4
6073007903	4
6073007905	4
6073007907	4
6073007908	4
6073007910	4
6073008002	4
6073008003	4
6073008006	4
6073008101	3
6073008102	3
6073008200	3
6073008301	3

6073008303	3
6073008305	3
6073008306	3
6073008307	3
6073008310	3
6073008311	3
6073008312	3
6073008313	3
6073008324	3
6073008327	3
6073008328	3
6073008329	3
6073008330	3
6073008331	3
6073008333	3
6073008335	3
6073008336	3
6073008337	3
6073008339	3
6073008340	3
6073008341	3
6073008343	3
6073008344	3
6073008345	3
6073008346	3
6073008347	3
6073008348	3
6073008349	3
6073008350	3
6073008351	3
6073008352	3
6073008353	3
6073008354	3
6073008355	3
6073008356	3
6073008357	3
6073008358	3
6073008359	3
6073008360	3
6073008361	3
6073008362	3
6073008363	3
6073008364	3
6073008365	3
6073008366	3
6073008501	3
6073008502	3

6073008503	3
6073008504	3
6073008505	3
6073008506	3
6073008507	3
6073008509	3
6073008510	3
6073008511	3
6073008512	3
6073008513	3
6073008600	4
6073008701	4
6073008702	4
6073008800	4
6073008901	4
6073008902	4
6073009000	4
6073009101	3
6073009102	3
6073009103	4
6073009104	4
6073009106	4
6073009107	4
6073009201	4
6073009202	4
6073009301	4
6073009304	4
6073009305	4
6073009306	4
6073009400	3
6073009502	3
6073009504	3
6073009505	3
6073009506	3
6073009507	3
6073009509	3
6073009510	3
6073009511	3
6073009602	3
6073009603	4
6073009604	4
6073009703	3
6073009704	3
6073009705	3
6073009706	3
6073009801	3
6073009802	3

6073009804	3
6073009805	3
6073009901	4
6073009902	1
6073010001	1
6073010003	1
6073010004	1
6073010005	1
6073010009	1
6073010010	1
6073010011	1
6073010012	1
6073010013	1
6073010014	1
6073010015	1
6073010103	1
6073010104	1
6073010106	1
6073010107	1
6073010109	1
6073010110	1
6073010111	1
6073010112	1
6073010200	1
6073010300	1
6073010401	1
6073010402	1
6073010501	1
6073010502	1
6073010601	1
6073010800	1
6073010900	1
6073011000	1
6073011100	1
6073011300	1
6073011601	1
6073011602	1
6073011700	1
6073011801	1
6073011802	1
6073011902	1
6073012002	1
6073012003	1
6073012101	1
6073012102	1
6073012200	1
6073012302	1

6073012303	1
6073012304	1
6073012401	1
6073012402	1
6073012501	1
6073012502	1
6073012600	1
6073012700	1
6073012800	1
6073012900	1
6073013000	1
6073013102	1
6073013103	1
6073013104	1
6073013203	1
6073013204	1
6073013205	1
6073013206	1
6073013301	1
6073013302	1
6073013303	1
6073013306	1
6073013307	1
6073013308	1
6073013309	1
6073013310	1
6073013311	1
6073013312	1
6073013313	1
6073013314	1
6073013401	1
6073013409	1
6073013410	1
6073013411	1
6073013412	1
6073013414	1
6073013415	1
6073013416	1
6073013417	1
6073013418	1
6073013419	1
6073013420	1
6073013421	1
6073013503	4
6073013504	4
6073013505	4
6073013506	4

6073013601	2
6073013604	2
6073013605	2
6073013606	2
6073013701	2
6073013702	4
6073013801	4
6073013802	4
6073013903	4
6073013905	4
6073013906	4
6073013907	4
6073013908	4
6073013909	4
6073014001	4
6073014002	4
6073014101	4
6073014102	4
6073014200	4
6073014300	4
6073014400	4
6073014500	2
6073014601	2
6073014602	2
6073014700	2
6073014803	2
6073014804	2
6073014805	2
6073014806	2
6073014901	2
6073014902	2
6073015000	2
6073015100	2
6073015200	2
6073015301	2
6073015302	2
6073015403	2
6073015404	2
6073015405	2
6073015406	2
6073015501	2
6073015502	2
6073015601	2
6073015602	2
6073015701	2
6073015703	2
6073015704	2

6073015801	2
6073015802	2
6073015901	2
6073015902	2
6073016000	2
6073016100	2
6073016201	2
6073016202	2
6073016301	2
6073016302	2
6073016401	2
6073016402	2
6073016502	2
6073016503	2
6073016504	2
6073016605	2
6073016606	2
6073016607	2
6073016608	2
6073016609	2
6073016610	2
6073016612	2
6073016613	2
6073016614	2
6073016615	2
6073016616	2
6073016617	2
6073016701	2
6073016702	2
6073016802	2
6073016804	2
6073016806	2
6073016807	2
6073016809	2
6073016810	2
6073016811	2
6073016901	2
6073016902	2
6073017006	2
6073017009	2
6073017010	2
6073017014	3
6073017015	3
6073017018	3
6073017019	3
6073017020	2
6073017021	2

6073017022	3
6073017029	3
6073017030	5
6073017031	3
6073017032	3
6073017033	3
6073017034	3
6073017035	3
6073017036	3
6073017037	3
6073017039	3
6073017040	2
6073017041	2
6073017042	3
6073017043	3
6073017044	3
6073017045	3
6073017046	3
6073017047	3
6073017048	2
6073017049	2
6073017050	2
6073017051	3
6073017052	3
6073017053	2
6073017054	2
6073017055	3
6073017056	3
6073017104	3
6073017106	3
6073017107	3
6073017108	3
6073017109	5
6073017110	5
6073017200	3
6073017303	3
6073017304	3
6073017305	3
6073017306	3
6073017401	3
6073017403	3
6073017404	3
6073017501	3
6073017502	3
6073017601	3
6073017603	3
6073017604	3

6073017701	3
6073017702	3
6073017801	5
6073017808	5
6073017809	5
6073017810	5
6073017811	5
6073017813	5
6073017900	5
6073018000	5
6073018100	5
6073018200	5
6073018300	5
6073018400	5
6073018504	5
6073018507	5
6073018509	5
6073018510	5
6073018511	5
6073018512	5
6073018513	5
6073018514	5
6073018515	5
6073018516	5
6073018517	5
6073018518	5
6073018519	5
6073018601	5
6073018603	5
6073018608	5
6073018609	5
6073018610	5
6073018611	2
6073018612	2
6073018613	5
6073018614	5
6073018700	2
6073018801	2
6073018802	2
6073018803	2
6073018903	2
6073018904	2
6073018905	2
6073018906	2
6073019001	2
6073019002	2
6073019101	2

6073019103	2
6073019105	2
6073019106	2
6073019107	2
6073019203	5
6073019205	5
6073019206	5
6073019207	2
6073019208	2
6073019301	5
6073019302	5
6073019303	5
6073019403	5
6073019404	5
6073019405	5
6073019406	5
6073019501	5
6073019502	5
6073019503	5
6073019601	5
6073019602	5
6073019701	5
6073019702	5
6073019803	5
6073019804	5
6073019805	5
6073019806	5
6073019808	5
6073019809	5
6073019902	5
6073019903	5
6073019904	5
6073019905	5
6073020013	5
6073020014	5
6073020015	5
6073020016	5
6073020017	5
6073020018	5
6073020019	5
6073020020	5
6073020021	5
6073020022	5
6073020023	5
6073020024	5
6073020025	5
6073020026	5

6073020027	5
6073020028	5
6073020029	5
6073020103	5
6073020105	5
6073020106	5
6073020107	5
6073020108	5
6073020109	5
6073020202	5
6073020206	5
6073020207	5
6073020208	5
6073020209	5
6073020210	5
6073020211	5
6073020213	5
6073020214	5
6073020304	5
6073020305	5
6073020306	5
6073020307	5
6073020308	5
6073020309	5
6073020401	5
6073020403	5
6073020404	5
6073020405	5
6073020500	5
6073020601	5
6073020602	5
6073020705	5
6073020706	2
6073020707	5
6073020708	5
6073020709	2
6073020710	3
6073020801	2
6073020805	2
6073020806	2
6073020807	2
6073020809	2
6073020810	2
6073020811	2
6073020902	2
6073020903	2
6073020904	2

6073021000	2
6073021100	2
6073021202	2
6073021204	2
6073021205	2
6073021206	2
6073021302	2
6073021303	2
6073021304	2
6073021400	4
6073021500	3
6073021600	1
6073021800	1
6073021900	1
6073022000	1
6073022100	5

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 5:41 PM
To: Denise Ducheny
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L; Haas, Mikel; Grudman, Rich
Subject: RE: Redistricting Plan Submittal

Dear Ms. Ducheny,

Thank you again for your redistricting plan submittal. Your plan has been posted to the County's online Redistricting Plan Viewer at <http://98.175.249.116/PlanViewer/>. To view your plan, click on "Choose Plan" in the upper left corner of the screen, select "Current Legislature" and the name of your plan.

You can also access your plan from the County's redistricting home page at www.sdcountry.ca.gov/redistricting using the "View/Submit Plans" link and selecting "View the latest proposed plans."

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Denise Ducheny [<mailto:dmducheny@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 12:05 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submittal

Attn: NicoleTemple

Per our telephone conversation this morning, attached are 3 documents - a copy of your Plan Submittal Form from the Website in Word format, a word document with the Narrative considered an attachment to the form, and an XL file with census tract listings for the plan proposed.

Please notify me by phone and/or email that you have received these documents, they are in acceptable form for the Commission's consideration, and they are all readable upon opening, so that we may remedy any issues today prior to your 5pm deadline for submissions.

Thank you for your prompt response both to my phone call this morning and to this email.

Sincerely,

Denise Moreno Ducheny
dmducheny@gmail.com
619-271-5966 (home phone)

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 4:30 PM
To: Charlene Ayers
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: No such thing: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

Dear Ms. Ayers,

Thank you for your email. This message will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA

Redistricting Project Manager

County of San Diego

(619) 531-5276

redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Charlene Ayers [mailto:char.ayers@att.net]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 9:53 AM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: FYI: No such thing: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Charlene Ayers <char.ayers@att.net>
To: Ranters Roost <char.ayers@att.net>
Sent: Mon, May 9, 2011 9:49:20 AM
Subject: No such thing: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: xxxxxxxx
To: Charlene Ayers <char.ayers@att.net>
Sent: Mon, May 9, 2011 8:45:29 AM
Subject: RE: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

Charlene is right. I requested a district map that also included Planning Areas ... and there is no such thing. Without a familiar frame of reference, the "public" cannot make any sense at all of this project. And that, I suspect after ten years of showing up to voice an informed opinion, is exactly the intention.

From: Charlene Ayers [mailto:char.ayers@att.net]
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2011 11:48 PM
To: Ranters Roost
Subject: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

By CHRIS NICHOLS - cnichols@nctimes.com | Posted: Sunday, May 8, 2011 9:00 pm

The stakes are high; the consequences are lasting.

But so far, there's been little more than a public yawn over the reshaping of the San Diego County Board of Supervisor districts.

At the eight meetings held since February by the county's Redistricting Advisory Committee, just 12 people have voiced their opinions.

Public attendance at one meeting was roughly a dozen people. At another, just one nonpublic official sat in the sparse audience.

The county is required to use U.S. Census data every 10 years to redraw supervisorial boundaries, with the goal of balancing the population of the five districts.

North County's districts, represented by Supervisors Pam Slater-Price and Bill Horn, must each shrink in size to stay in line with the other three.

Depending on where the lines are drawn ---- and which supervisor is assigned to which communities ---- redistricting can strengthen or dilute a town's political clout.

Leaders who share an area's cultural or environmental sensibilities, for example, are more likely to provide a voice for those values at the county level.

Still, when the redistricting panel took its meetings on the road last week, participation was paltry, too.

In San Marcos and Solana Beach, six people spoke. In La Mesa and Chula Vista, four people spoke.

"We've bent over backwards to get more public input," said Michel Anderson, chairman of the San Diego County Redistricting Advisory Committee. "You can lead a horse to a well, but you can't make it drink."

And while the county has received several suggestions for how to redraw the district lines, not a single person has submitted formal plans for how to redraw the districts, Anderson said Monday.

Such plans would include a set of data that assigns census tracts, or blocks, to a particular supervisorial district. The deadline for submitting a plan is May 9; visit www.sdcountry.ca.gov/redistricting for details.

Mike Aguirre, former San Diego city attorney and a critic of the county's redistricting process, said public participation is low because there's so little to consider.

"They need to go out to the districts once they have a plan," Aguirre said.

Anderson rejected that idea, saying it would be premature to present a plan before gathering public opinion.

He added that the public can help the panel create a plan during upcoming meetings in May and June at the Board of Supervisor chambers in downtown San Diego.

The committee is expected to recommend up to three redistricting plans to the Board of Supervisors by June 28.

The supervisors have the final say on how their district lines are redrawn.

Call staff writer Chris Nichols at 760-740-5426.

Charlene Sez: I attended the last public meeting at Ruffian Road on May 3, 2011--District 4. Ten people in attendance.

Anderson is wrong. Unless the public becomes expert at arranging census blocks and splitting census tracks...and knowing the difference...there is nothing that the public can do or say at this point. That is what the "plan" is made up of, a list of census tracks and blocks which compose a district...there is no map. It's a daunting task.

I must say that staff's presentation was excellent...and if I wanted to devote several months or years to just learning how to arrange those two elements to balance the populations in the five districts and voter tune-up them up as I went, I could have submitted a plan. Who can do this?

Bring the plans out to the public and let them comment on them.

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 4:30 PM
To: Charlene Ayers
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: Serious proposal: No such thing: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

Dear Ms. Ayers,

Thank you for your email. This message will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA

Redistricting Project Manager

County of San Diego

(619) 531-5276

redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Charlene Ayers [mailto:char.ayers@att.net]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 10:59 AM
To: Ranters Roost
Cc: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Serious proposal: No such thing: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: xxxxxxxxxx
To: Charlene Ayers <char.ayers@att.net>
Sent: Mon, May 9, 2011 11:53:39 AM
Subject: Re: No such thing: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

The previous ranter is correct. Having population maps and current jurisdictional boundaries is an absolute minimum for being able to generate a serious proposal. If they really want serious proposals they should provide software maps on which people could draw boundaries and which would give population totals for each proposed district. The map should be able to show current supervisorial districts, school districts, water agencies, roads, state and federal legislative districts, topography, voter party registration, etc. so the districts can be as coherent as possible.

A discussion regarding the project objectives would also be good. Should we carve things up so the predominant party has a majority everywhere by a narrow margin, or should we set up districts that are each fairly safe for one party or the other? Since SANDAG has all the information and all the maps, it seems like they should be the ones to create a tool like this. Then again, SANDAG, typically wants to preserve the status quo.

anonymous

On 5/9/2011 8:49 AM, Charlene Ayers wrote:

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: xxxxxxxx

To: Charlene Ayers <char.ayers@att.net>

Sent: Mon, May 9, 2011 8:45:29 AM

Subject: RE: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

Charlene is right. I requested a district map that also included Planning Areas ... and there is no such thing. Without a familiar frame of reference, the "public" cannot make any sense at all of this project. And that, I suspect after ten years of showing up to voice an informed opinion, is exactly the intention.

From: Charlene Ayers [<mailto:char.ayers@att.net>]

Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2011 11:48 PM

To: Ranters Roost

Subject: NCTimes-Nichols: REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

REGION: Reshaping county districts draws yawn from public

By CHRIS NICHOLS - cnichols@nctimes.com | Posted: Sunday, May 8, 2011 9:00 pm

The stakes are high; the consequences are lasting.

But so far, there's been little more than a public yawn over the reshaping of the San Diego County Board of Supervisor districts.

At the eight meetings held since February by the county's Redistricting Advisory Committee, just 12 people have voiced their opinions.

Public attendance at one meeting was roughly a dozen people. At another, just one nonpublic official sat in the sparse audience.

The county is required to use U.S. Census data every 10 years to redraw supervisorial boundaries, with the goal of balancing the population of the five districts.

North County's districts, represented by Supervisors Pam Slater-Price and Bill Horn, must each shrink in size to stay in line with the other three.

Depending on where the lines are drawn ---- and which supervisor is assigned to which communities ---- redistricting can strengthen or dilute a town's political clout.

Leaders who share an area's cultural or environmental sensibilities, for example, are more likely to provide a voice for those values at the county level.

Still, when the redistricting panel took its meetings on the road last week, participation was paltry, too.

In San Marcos and Solana Beach, six people spoke. In La Mesa and Chula Vista, four people spoke.

"We've bent over backwards to get more public input," said Michel Anderson, chairman of the San Diego County Redistricting Advisory Committee. "You can lead a horse to a well, but you can't make it drink."

And while the county has received several suggestions for how to redraw the district lines, not a single person has submitted formal plans for how to redraw the districts, Anderson said Monday.

Such plans would include a set of data that assigns census tracts, or blocks, to a particular supervisorial district. The deadline for submitting a plan is May 9; visit www.sdcountry.ca.gov/redistricting for details.

Mike Aguirre, former San Diego city attorney and a critic of the county's redistricting process, said public participation is low because there's so little to consider.

"They need to go out to the districts once they have a plan," Aguirre said.

Anderson rejected that idea, saying it would be premature to present a plan before gathering public opinion.

He added that the public can help the panel create a plan during upcoming meetings in May and June at the Board of Supervisor chambers in downtown San Diego.

The committee is expected to recommend up to three redistricting plans to the Board of Supervisors by June 28.

The supervisors have the final say on how their district lines are redrawn.

Call staff writer Chris Nichols at 760-740-5426.

Charlene Sez: I attended the last public meeting at Ruffian Road on May 3, 2011--District 4. Ten people in attendance.

Anderson is wrong. Unless the public becomes expert at arranging census blocks and splitting census tracks...and knowing the difference...there is nothing that the public can do or say at this point. That is what the "plan" is made up of, a list of census tracks and blocks which compose a district...there is no map. It's a daunting task.

I must say that staff's presentation was excellent...and if I wanted to devote several months or years to just learning how to arrange those two elements to balance the populations in the five districts and voter tune-up them up as I went, I could have submitted a plan. Who can do this?

Bring the plans out to the public and let them comment on them.

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2011 MAY 10 AM 9 02

Chairman Michel Anderson
San Diego County Redistricting Committee
1600 Pacific Highway Room 201
San Diego CA 92101

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS

Dear Chairman Anderson,

As a long time resident and advocate for Bonita I am sending this letter requesting that Bonita remain in District 1. It is my understanding that the current redistricting may move Bonita to District 2. Bonita is contiguous to Chula Vista, National City and other smaller communities around the Sweetwater Valley. I think Bonita's interests are best aligned with these communities that are west of the SR125 versus the East County.

District 1 is under Supervisor Greg Cox and I appreciate the support he has given us in recognizing and maintaining the character of our rural/urban community with higher density, open spaces (ie Morrison Pond and riparian habit), unique parks, library, Community Center and a proactive trail system. He has been instrumental in all these projects and is highly respected and appreciated by my community.

Greg Cox has provided focus and funding for my community and I would appreciate the Redistricting Committees keep Bonita aligned with its neighboring cities under the jurisdiction of District 1.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,



Elizabeth Stonehouse

5/7-2011

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2011 MAY 10 AM 9 02

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS

Chairman Michel Anderson
San Diego County Redistricting Committee
1600 Pacific Highway Room 201
San Diego CA 92101

Dear Chairman Anderson,


As a long time resident and advocate for Bonita I am sending this letter requesting that Bonita remain in District 1. It is my understanding that the current redistricting may move Bonita to District 2. Bonita is contiguous to Chula Vista, National City and other smaller communities around the Sweetwater Valley. I think Bonita's interests are best aligned with these communities that are west of the SR125 versus the East County.

District 1 is under Supervisor Greg Cox and I appreciate the support he has given us in recognizing and maintaining the character of our rural/urban community with higher density, open spaces (ie Morrison Pond and riparian habit), unique parks, library, Community Center and a proactive trail system. He has been instrumental in all these projects and is highly respected and appreciated by my community.

Greg Cox has provided focus and funding for my community and I would appreciate the Redistricting Committees keep Bonita aligned with its neighboring cities under the jurisdiction of District 1.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephen Stonehouse", with a stylized, flowing script.

Stephen M Stonehouse

Stewart Lieberman
3550 Finslow St
Berkeley, CA 94702

RECEIVED


MAY 09 2011

SAN DIEGO CA 921
07 MAY 2011 PM 11

ARCC-RECORDER

Chairman Michael Cuddeback
San Diego County Redistricting Committee
1600 Pacific Highway Room 218
San Diego CA 92161

32101+2400





COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2011 MAY 10 AM 9 02

CITY OF CORONADO

1825 STRAND WAY
CORONADO, CA 92118

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
CLERK OF SUPERVISORS
OFFICE OF CITY MANAGER
(619) 522-7335
FAX (619) 522-5846

May 4, 2011

County of San Diego
Attn: Redistricting 2011
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 201
San Diego CA 92101

Good Day:

Enclosed please find Resolution 8471, approved May 3, 2011, of the City Council of the City of Coronado stating the Council's desire for the City of Coronado to remain in Supervisorial District 1 as part of the County of San Diego's redistricting process.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mary L. Clifford".

Mary L. Clifford
Executive Assistant to the City Manager

/mlc
enc.

RESOLUTION NO. 8471

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CORONADO, CALIFORNIA, STATING THE COUNCIL'S DESIRE FOR THE CITY OF CORONADO TO REMAIN IN SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1 AS PART OF THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO'S REDISTRICTING PROCESS

WHEREAS, State law requires the San Diego County Board of Supervisors to adjust the boundaries of the County's five supervisorial districts every ten years; and

WHEREAS, as part of this process the Board of Supervisors has appointed a five member Redistricting Advisory Committee to seek public input and make recommendations on redistricting to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, due to Coronado's close association with the cities contained in Supervisorial District 1, which includes the cities of Coronado, Imperial Beach, Chula Vista, National City, and parts of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, Supervisorial District 1 includes all of the Port District member agencies and the entire tidelands area of the harbor; and

WHEREAS, Supervisorial District 1 includes a high concentration of military bases and associated commuter populations; and

WHEREAS, Coronado shares mutual and automatic aid agreements for emergency response and emergency medical services with the other Supervisorial District 1 member agencies; and

WHEREAS, Coronado, Imperial Beach, Chula Vista, and National City are all members of the South County Economic Development Council and are contained within the Southwestern Community College district boundaries; and

WHEREAS, for many years Supervisorial District 1 agencies have worked cooperatively on issues of similar concern including those associated with the Port of San Diego, Bayshore Bikeway, military bases and housing, commuter traffic, South Bay Power Plant, airports, water quality and border issues; and

WHEREAS, Coronado shares a contiguous boundary with the City of Imperial Beach and is in closer proximity to the other South Bay cities (Imperial Beach, Chula Vista, National City) than any other communities in the County other than San Diego.


NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CORONADO, CALIFORNIA, DOES RESOLVE, DECLARE, DETERMINE, AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. That the above recitations are true and correct; and


SECTION 2. The City Council urges the San Diego County Redistricting Advisory Committee and the Board of Supervisors to consider the City of Coronado's strong desire to remain within Supervisorial District 1 along with the other South Bay communities of Imperial Beach, Chula Vista, and National City.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED ON THIS 3RD DAY OF MAY 2011, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES:	DOWNEY, OVROM, WOIWODE, TANAKA
NAYS:	DENNEY
ABSENT:	NONE
ABSTAIN:	NONE


Casey Tanaka, Mayor

Attest:


Linda K. Hascup, CMC
City Clerk

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 9:26 AM
To: heyroger@roadrunner.com
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L; 'Dennisridz@hotmail.com'
Subject: FW: Emailing: PlanSubmittalForm2011

Dear Mr. Boyd,

Thank you for your e-mail about including San Dieguito Park in District 3. Your comments will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Dennis Ridz [<mailto:dennisridz@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 6:23 PM
To: heyroger@roadrunner.com
Cc: Potter, Andrew
Subject: RE: Emailing: PlanSubmittalForm2011

Hi Roger - I have forwarded your submittal to the County just in case the sanil mail doesn't get there

From: heyroger@roadrunner.com
To: dennisridz@hotmail.com
Subject: Emailing: PlanSubmittalForm2011
Date: Mon, 9 May 2011 17:39:28 -0700

Hi Dennis,

I mailed a suggestion for a redistricting boundary change to the County offices. I wasn't able to send it electronically. The suggestion involves including San Dieguito Park within District #3 and removing it from District #5.

Please call me at your convenience, so I can explain to you what I'm trying to accomplish and get your advice on how to proceed to receive adequate evaluation.

The suggestion process didn't work well for me.

Thanks,

Roger Boyd - Solana Beach
858.481.7547

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 11:21 AM
To: Mitz Lee; Dr. Allen Chan
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Potter, Andrew
Subject: RE: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map
Attachments: APAC_Countyredistrictingproposedmap.Final.doc;
APAC_Proposed2010CountyMap.Final.pdf; apaccountymapblockstracts.xlsx

Dear Ms. Lee and Dr. Chan,

Thank you for your redistricting plan submittal. Your documents are in acceptable form and will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee for discussion at their next meeting of May 16, 2011. Additionally, a map generated from your plan will be posted online to the County's Redistricting Plan Viewer at <http://98.175.249.116/PlanViewer/> as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Mitz Lee [<mailto:mitz@mitzlee.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 12:27 AM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Cc: Dr. Allen Chan
Subject: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map

Proposed Redistricting Plan Submittal **County of San Diego** 2011 Supervisorial Districts **SUBMITTER'S INFORMATION**

Date: May 12, 2011
Name: Dr. Allen Chan and Mitz Lee
Title: Co-Convener of APAC
Organization: ASIAN & PACIFIC AMERICAN COALITION
Address: PO Box 261243, San Diego, CA 92196
Phone: 858-689-9462 or 858-717-7708
Email: mitz@mitzlee.com or "Dr. Allen Chan" <drchan@jasmineseafood.com>

NOTE: Information provided is part of public record and subject to disclosure.

PLAN TITLE: Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map

PLAN ELEMENTS

Narrative to be completed by the proposed plan author(s). Attach additional pages if needed.

Describe your proposed plan and note any data sources used.

Please see attached documents:

1. APAC Narrative
2. APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map
3. APAC County Map Census Block/Tracts

ASIAN & PACIFIC AMERICAN COALITION (APAC)

The Proposed County Redistricting Map empowers the Asian & Pacific Islander community in San Diego in the following ways:

- 1) The map increases the highest % of API population in a Supervisorial District, from 17.9% to 21.6% (District 3);
- 2) It unifies Mira Mesa, which is currently split between Districts 3 & 4;
- 3) The proposed Supervisorial District 3 completely envelops the proposed APAC City of San Diego Ninth District, allowing a stepping stone for political advancement for future Asian elected officials;
- 4) The proposed Supervisorial District 3 is composed of 6 of the 10 San Diego City neighborhoods that have the largest number of Asian residents, and 5 of the 10 largest Asian community planning areas (highlighted below). Proposed District 3 covers 36% of the entire API population in San Diego County.
- 5)

Neighborhood	Total Pop.	Total Asian Pop.	% Asian
MIRA MESA	69,607	32,249	46.3%
UNIVERSITY CITY	49,029	15,450	31.5%
BAY TERRACES	31,534	14,609	46.3%
RANCHO PENASQUITOS	44,504	12,908	29.0%
CARMEL VALLEY	44,003	11,017	25.0%
RANCHO BERNARDO	40,809	6,584	16.1%
LINDA VISTA	24,663	5,560	22.5%
MIRAMAR RANCH NORTH	13,183	4,256	32.3%
CLAIREMONT MESA EAST	25,358	3,820	15.1%
OTAY MESA WEST	30,351	3,736	12.3%
Community Planning Area	Total Pop.	Total Asian Pop.	% Asian
MIRA MESA	72,598	33,126	45.6%
SKYLINE-PARADISE HILLS	67,089	20,712	30.9%
UNIVERSITY	63,098	20,065	31.8%
RANCHO PENASQUITOS	42,852	12,235	28.6%
CITY HEIGHTS	74,062	12,188	16.5%
CARMEL VALLEY	33,580	7,945	23.7%

CLAIREMONT MESA	77,873	7,378	9.5%
ENCANTO/SOUTHEASTERN	47,361	7,178	15.2%
OTAY MESA-NESTOR	60,181	7,026	11.7%
RANCHO BERNARDO	39,862	6,311	15.8%

ABOUT APAC

Mission Statement:

To preserve and promote our cultural heritage and strengthen the civic, social, economic, and political lives in San Diego through advocacy and empowerment.

Objective

APAC seeks to achieve fair representation of the Asian and Pacific American community in San Diego through the redistricting process.

Supporting Organizations

Asian Business Association • Beyond Productions • Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association • Council of Philippine American Organizations of San Diego County • Federation of India Associations of San Diego • Filipino American Community Empowerment (FACE) • Hong Kong Association of Southern California, San Diego • Korean American Association • Korean American Coalition • Lao American Coalition • Mabuhay Alliance • San Diego Asian Film Foundation • Thai-American Association of San Diego • Vietnamese American Voters Alliance

For more information, contact: Mitz Lee at mitz@mitzlee.com or Dr. Allen Chan at "Dr. Allen Chan" <drchan@jasmineseafood.com>.



Legend

Current Supervisor Dist. Boundaries

Proposed New Districts

SUPERVISOR

- 1ST SUPERVISORIAL DIST
- 2ND SUPERVISORIAL DIST
- 3RD SUPERVISORIAL DIST
- 4TH SUPERVISORIAL DIST
- 5TH SUPERVISORIAL DIST

Tract Name District #

204.01	3
207.05	3
207.06	3
209.03	5
170.29	5
170.3	3
170.32	3
171.06	5
171.1	5
173.06	3
98.02	2
95.04	3
95.05	2
95.06	3
95.07	2
97.04	2
98.01	2
98.04	2
81.01	3
81.02	3
82	3
83.01	3
83.03	3
83.1	3
83.11	3
83.12	3
79.08	3
79.1	3
79.05	3
176.01	3
177.01	3
80.03	3
207.1	2
32.14	2
213.02	2
213.04	2
213.03	2
92.01	3
94	3
83.4	3
85.11	3
141.02	4
83.64	3
83.63	3
58	4
93.01	3

83.41	3
83.43	3
93.06	3
83.5	4
30.03	4
77.02	4
77.01	4
76	4
53	1
134.1	1
134.19	1
180	5
181	5
182	5
191.06	5
191.07	5
192.03	5
192.05	5
192.06	5
192.07	5
192.08	5
193.01	5
184	5
185.04	5
185.07	5
185.09	5
185.1	5
185.11	5
185.12	5
185.13	5
185.14	5
193.02	5
193.03	5
194.03	5
194.04	5
194.05	5
194.06	5
195.01	5
203.09	5
200.29	5
198.09	5
185.15	5
185.16	5
185.17	5
185.18	5
195.02	5
195.03	5

196.01	5
196.02	5
197.01	5
197.02	5
198.03	5
198.04	5
198.05	5
198.06	5
199.02	5
199.03	5
199.04	5
199.05	5
200.13	5
200.14	5
200.15	5
200.16	5
200.17	5
186.14	5
200.18	5
200.19	5
200.2	5
200.21	5
200.22	5
200.23	5
200.24	5
200.25	5
203.06	5
203.07	5
207.09	5
178.13	5
171.09	5
198.08	5
186.13	5
200.28	5
200.26	5
185.19	5
186.01	5
186.03	5
186.08	5
178.01	5
178.08	5
178.09	5
178.1	5
178.11	5
179	5
186.09	5
186.1	5

186.11	5
186.12	5
187	5
188.01	5
188.02	5
188.03	5
189.03	5
189.04	5
189.05	5
189.06	5
190.01	5
190.02	5
191.01	5
191.03	5
191.05	5
200.27	5
221	5
83.52	3
83.53	3
83.54	3
83.55	3
83.56	3
79.07	3
83.57	3
83.58	3
83.59	3
83.6	3
203.08	3
202.14	3
202.13	3
95.02	3
95.09	3
170.56	3
170.55	3
170.52	3
98.05	3
201.03	3
201.05	3
78	3
79.03	3
80.02	3
201.06	3
201.07	3
201.08	3
201.09	3
202.02	3
202.06	3

83.05	3
83.13	3
83.24	3
202.07	3
202.08	3
202.09	3
202.1	3
202.11	3
203.04	3
203.05	3
204.03	3
204.04	3
204.05	3
205	3
83.27	3
83.28	3
83.29	3
83.3	3
83.31	3
83.33	3
83.35	3
83.36	3
206.01	3
206.02	3
207.07	3
207.08	3
83.37	3
83.39	3
170.14	3
170.15	3
170.18	3
170.19	3
170.22	3
170.31	3
170.33	3
170.34	3
170.35	3
170.36	3
170.37	3
95.11	3
83.66	3
83.65	3
170.39	3
170.42	3
170.43	3
170.44	3
170.45	3

170.46	3
170.47	3
171.04	3
171.07	3
170.51	3
215	3
171.08	3
172	3
173.03	3
173.04	3
173.05	3
174.01	3
174.03	3
174.04	3
175.01	3
95.1	3
175.02	3
176.03	3
176.04	3
177.02	3
83.62	3
83.61	3
80.06	3
83.46	3
83.47	3
83.48	3
83.49	3
83.51	3
137.02	2
212.05	2
135.05	2
146.02	2
96.02	2
96.04	2
211	2
97.03	2
97.05	2
97.06	2
140.02	2
148.04	2
162.01	2
164.01	2
165.02	2
166.05	2
166.06	2
166.07	2
161	2

166.08	2
166.09	2
166.1	2
166.12	2
166.13	2
135.04	2
159.02	2
152	2
150	2
136.01	2
166.14	2
166.15	2
166.16	2
166.17	2
167.01	2
167.02	2
168.02	2
168.04	2
168.06	2
168.07	2
168.09	2
208.01	2
208.05	2
208.06	2
208.07	2
208.09	2
209.04	2
210	2
139.06	2
141.01	2
160	2
155.02	2
145	2
168.1	2
168.11	2
169.01	2
169.02	2
170.06	2
170.09	2
170.1	2
139.08	2
139.05	2
139.07	2
140.01	2
151	2
154.05	2
170.2	2

170.21	2
31.08	2
138.02	2
142	2
212.04	2
156.01	2
212.02	2
209.02	2
136.06	2
170.53	2
139.03	2
144	2
146.01	2
170.4	2
170.41	2
170.48	2
170.49	2
148.06	2
148.05	2
135.06	2
139.09	2
135.03	2
137.01	2
138.01	2
170.5	2
170.54	2
149.02	2
212.06	2
208.11	2
165.04	2
165.03	2
149.01	2
157.04	2
154.03	2
155.01	2
156.02	2
164.02	2
143	2
154.04	2
153.01	2
157.01	2
163.01	2
157.03	2
159.01	2
136.04	2
163.02	2
158.02	2

136.05	2
153.02	2
148.03	2
147	2
158.01	2
162.02	2
154.06	2
208.1	2
27.02	4
27.1	4
27.07	4
27.05	4
22.02	4
26.01	4
91.02	4
88	4
91.03	4
90	4
27.12	4
28.04	4
5	4
23.02	4
27.03	4
20.02	4
20.01	4
91.01	4
2.02	4
85.12	4
85.02	4
21	4
24.02	4
41	4
22.01	4
24.01	4
16	4
91.04	4
85.07	4
91.07	4
85.09	4
25.01	4
13	4
12	4
43	4
17	4
14	4
27.09	4
18	4

15	4
10	4
56	4
23.01	4
83.06	4
83.07	4
31.03	4
31.01	4
86	4
27.08	4
32.13	4
32.02	4
31.15	4
85.03	4
25.02	4
29.04	4
85.01	4
96.03	4
7	4
28.03	4
8	4
9	4
28.01	4
42	4
31.05	4
31.11	4
93.04	4
11	4
6	4
92.02	4
87.02	4
87.01	4
31.07	4
31.13	4
34.01	4
85.13	4
85.1	4
85.05	4
85.04	4
31.14	4
27.11	4
45.01	4
1	4
85.06	4
2.01	4
60	4
46	4

29.05	4
32.12	4
32.08	4
29.03	4
26.02	4
55	4
3	4
4	4
57	4
89.02	4
61	4
31.09	4
19	4
52	4
59	4
30.04	4
91.06	4
32.01	4
31.12	4
93.05	4
29.02	4
32.09	4
32.11	4
44	4
83.44	4
83.45	4
30.01	4
89.01	4
33.05	1
72	1
102	1
133.06	1
99.01	1
100.05	1
134.17	1
75.01	1
75.02	1
117	1
120.03	1
100.12	1
63	1
133.09	1
130	1
134.12	1
68.02	1
132.04	1
101.1	1

73.02	1
123.04	1
133.11	1
133.12	1
134.15	1
101.11	1
131.03	1
101.06	1
101.07	1
128	1
100.04	1
100.03	1
111	1
32.04	1
127	1
101.04	1
100.13	1
133.03	1
101.12	1
116.01	1
118.01	1
35.02	1
35.01	1
33.01	1
101.03	1
134.18	1
100.01	1
132.03	1
124.02	1
104.02	1
129	1
133.13	1
133.1	1
133.14	1
100.14	1
39.01	1
123.02	1
134.14	1
132.05	1
71	1
104.01	1
100.11	1
100.1	1
133.01	1
105.02	1
126	1
134.01	1

33.04	1
38	1
116.02	1
134.16	1
70.02	1
133.07	1
65	1
131.04	1
133.08	1
113	1
123.03	1
121.01	1
121.02	1
100.09	1
36.03	1
36.02	1
34.04	1
48	1
109	1
122	1
51	1
36.01	1
39.02	1
49	1
73.01	1
105.01	1
47	1
108	1
134.21	1
134.2	1
69	1
103	1
62	1
120.02	1
40	1
34.03	1
32.07	1
99.02	1
106.01	1
124.01	1
119.02	1
134.09	1
66	1
131.02	1
133.02	1
68.01	1
125.02	1

125.01	1
132.06	1
101.09	1
74	1
50	1
110	1
118.02	1
134.11	1
33.03	1
54	1
219	1
100.15	1
220	1
218	1
216	1
214	1
183	0

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 12:19 PM
To: Mitz Lee
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Potter, Andrew; Dr. Allen Chan
Subject: RE: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map
Attachments: APAC_proposed_dist9_042520112.Final1pdf.pdf; APAC_proposed_dists_042520111Final.pdf

Dear Ms. Lee,

Thank you for sending this additional information. This maps will be included in the documents accompanying your plan submittal and will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Mitz Lee [<mailto:mitz@mitzlee.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 11:39 AM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Potter, Andrew; Dr. Allen Chan
Subject: RE: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map

Dear Nicole,

Thank you for your acknowledgement of our plan submittal. If it is not too late, could you please include the attached maps as part of added documentation? These maps were submitted to the San Diego City Redistricting Commission for consideration. As we have mentioned in the narrative, "the proposed Supervisorial District 3 completely envelops the proposed APAC City of San Diego Ninth District, allowing a stepping stone for political advancement for future Asian elected officials".

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Allen Chan and Mitz Lee
Co-Conveners of APAC

At 11:20 AM 5/10/2011, CSG, Redistricting 2011 wrote:

Dear Ms. Lee and Dr. Chan,

Thank you for your redistricting plan submittal. Your documents are in acceptable form and will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee for discussion at their next meeting of May 16, 2011. Additionally, a

map generated from your plan will be posted online to the County's Redistricting Plan Viewer at <http://98.175.249.116/PlanViewer/> as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA

Redistricting Project Manager

County of San Diego

(619) 531-5276

redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov

P Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Mitz Lee [<mailto:mitz@mitzlee.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 12:27 AM

To: CSG, Redistricting 2011

Cc: Dr. Allen Chan

Subject: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map

Proposed Redistricting Plan Submittal

County of San Diego

2011 Supervisorial Districts

SUBMITTER'S INFORMATION

Date: May 12, 2011

Name: Dr. Allen Chan and Mitz Lee

Title: Co-Convener of APAC

Organization: ASIAN & PACIFIC AMERICAN COALITION

Address: PO Box 261243, San Diego, CA 92196

Phone: 858-689-9462 or 858-717-7708

Email: mitz@mitzlee.com or "Dr. Allen Chan" <drchan@jasmineseafood.com>

NOTE: Information provided is part of public record and subject to disclosure.

PLAN TITLE: Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map


PLAN ELEMENTS

Narrative to be completed by the proposed plan author(s). Attach additional pages if needed.

Describe your proposed plan and note any data sources used.

Please see attached documents:

1. APAC Narrative
2. APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map
3. APAC County Map Census Block/Tracts



 Current City Council Boundary

APAC Proposed District 9

Total Population in 2010*

Mira Mesa	72,598
Kearny Mesa	1,058
Rancho Penasquitos	42,852
Miramar MCAS	243
Torrey Highland	7,652
University	21,024

Total **145,427**

* 2010 U.S. Census


--- Current City
--- Council Boundary

**APAC Proposed City
Council Districts**

District (Total Population*)

1	(144,508)
2	(144,201)
3	(144,575)
4	(144,209)
5	(144,704)
6	(144,970)
7	(144,434)
8	(144,931)
9	(145,427)

* 2010 U.S. Census



Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 3:59 PM
To: Mitz Lee; CSG, Redistricting 2011
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Potter, Andrew; Dr. Allen Chan
Subject: RE: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map
Attachments: Public APAC Plan.pdf

Hi Ms. Lee,

I have some questions on the plan you submitted and wanted to clarify with you before we post to the online viewer. Specifically, when I imported your plan into our mapping software, it created districts that do not match the picture you provided in your PDF attachment. Additionally there are some census tracts (53.50 ...in Mira Mesa) and (98.05...southeast of TierraSanta) that are not contiguous.

Please give me a call so we can discuss. My number is 619-531-5327

I have attached a PDF for you to show you how your plan came out.

I look forward to speaking with you.

Rich Grudman
Redistricting Assistant Project Manager
County of San Diego
619-531-5327

From: Mitz Lee [<mailto:mitz@mitzlee.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 12:24 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Potter, Andrew; Dr. Allen Chan
Subject: RE: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map

Thank you so much. Your help is much appreciated.

Mitz


At 12:18 PM 5/10/2011, CSG, Redistricting 2011 wrote:

Dear Ms. Lee,

Thank you for sending this additional information. This maps will be included in the documents accompanying your plan submittal and will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Public: APAC Plan

Potter, Andrew

From: Grudman, Rich
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 4:06 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011; Mitz Lee
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Potter, Andrew; Dr. Allen Chan
Subject: RE: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map

I meant Tract # 83.50. There is no "53.50"

-Rich

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 3:59 PM
To: 'Mitz Lee'; CSG, Redistricting 2011
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Potter, Andrew; Dr. Allen Chan
Subject: RE: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map

Hi Ms. Lee,

I have some questions on the plan you submitted and wanted to clarify with you before we post to the online viewer. Specifically, when I imported your plan into our mapping software, it created districts that do not match the picture you provided in your PDF attachment. Additionally there are some census tracts (53.50 ...in Mira Mesa) and (98.05...southeast of TierraSanta) that are not contiguous.

Please give me a call so we can discuss. My number is 619-531-5327

I have attached a PDF for you to show you how your plan came out.

I look forward to speaking with you.

Rich Grudman
Redistricting Assistant Project Manager
County of San Diego
619-531-5327

From: Mitz Lee [<mailto:mitz@mitzlee.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 12:24 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Cc: Pettingill, William L; Potter, Andrew; Dr. Allen Chan
Subject: RE: APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map

Thank you so much. Your help is much appreciated.

Mitz

At 12:18 PM 5/10/2011, CSG, Redistricting 2011 wrote:

Dear Ms. Lee,

Thank you for sending this additional information. This maps will be included in the documents accompanying

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 11:31 AM
To: Charlene Ayers
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: Process Questions

Dear Ms. Ayers,

Thank you for your email. Please see my previous response. Your comments will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Charlene Ayers [<mailto:char.ayers@att.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 8:33 AM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Process Questions

I would like to know:

1. If the 2011 Redistricting Commission meeting format is the same as in 2001 with the public meetings before the Commission presents their "plans"?
2. How many "plans" were submitted by the public in 2001?
3. How many public speakers commented before the Commission presented their "plans" in 2001?
4. What is the origin of the current meeting format? Always done it that way?
5. What is the rationale for the current meeting format, the public comment before the Commission presents their "plans"?

Charlene Ayers

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 11:29 AM
To: char.ayers@att.net
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: Re: Process Questions
Attachments: 07-10-01 Redistricting BOS.pdf

Dear Ms. Ayers,

Attached you will find the Board of Supervisors agenda item and staff presentation on redistricting from July 10, 2001. This material should address your questions.

Regarding the County Redistricting Advisory Committee's 2011 meeting format, all meetings are held in conformance with the Brown Act and as described in the Redistricting Advisory Committee's Rules of Procedure adopted on February 23, 2011. Further, public comment is welcome and encouraged at any and all of the Committee's public meetings, both before and throughout the Committee's development of redistricting plan recommendations. Public comment about redistricting items is also welcome and encouraged at all of the Board of Supervisors meetings.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Charlene Ayers [<mailto:char.ayers@att.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 8:40 AM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Re: Process Questions

6. Who was Chair of the Redistricting Commission in 2001?
Charlene Ayers

From: Charlene Ayers <char.ayers@att.net>
To: redistricting2011 <redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Sent: Tue, May 10, 2011 8:33:18 AM
Subject: Process Questions

I would like to know:

1. If the 2011 Redistricting Commission meeting format is the same as in 2001 with the public meetings before the Commission presents their "plans"?
2. How many "plans" were submitted by the public in 2001?
3. How many public speakers commented before the Commission presented their "plans" in 2001?
4. What is the origin of the current meeting format? Always done it that way?

5. What is the rationale for the current meeting format, the public comment before the Commission presents their "plans"?

Charlene Ayers

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 11:35 AM
To: Charlene Ayers
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: SDDT-Trageser: High stakes but low interest in county redistricting process

Dear Ms. Ayers,

Thank you for your email forward of a news article from the San Diego Daily Transcript dated May 9, 2011. This information will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Charlene Ayers [mailto:char.ayers@att.net]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 7:04 PM
To: Ranters Roost
Cc: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: SDDT-Trageser: High stakes but low interest in county redistricting process

High stakes but low interest in county redistricting process

By CLAIRE TRAGESER, The Daily Transcript
Monday, May 9, 2011

Despite the redistricting process's potential to influence future elections and the heavy contention surrounding the redistricting process in the City of San Diego, the undertakings of the San Diego County Redistricting Advisory Council have been paid scant attention.

Just 14 people submitted suggestions to the council over the course of six meetings designed specifically to update members of the public on the redistricting process and solicit their input. Most meetings drew fewer than 20 people, and some saw only one or two members of the public present.

And although the committee has repeatedly asked members of the public to submit their own plans for how to divide up the county's five supervisory districts, no one had made any proposals before the 9 a.m. Monday meeting, leaving just 15 remaining hours before the midnight deadline.

That leaves the five-person committee to do the work themselves. Over the course of five meetings spanning the next two months, the committee members -- each appointed by a county supervisor -- will sift through census population data and the meager offerings of public input to come up with a plan to revise how the lines between county districts are drawn.

The committee will then give up to three proposals to the Board of Supervisors by June 28, and the board will adopt a plan by September.

Although interest in the process is low, the stakes are high. Changing what neighborhoods are included in a supervisory district can impact how that district votes in future supervisor elections. Supervisors Greg Cox, Dianne Jacob and Pam Slater-Price, representing districts one, two and three, respectively, will be up for re-election next year.

Critics of the Board of Supervisors election process say the board -- currently made up of five white Republicans who all graduated from San Diego State University -- is too homogeneous.

"We have such diversity across the county, but we're all represented by this one homogeneous group -- how is that conceivably possible?" said former San Diego City Attorney Mike Aguirre, who has attended redistricting advisory council meetings and has been a vocal critic of the process.

"And they are all going to be there longer than the guy in North Korea is going to be in his position."

All five supervisors were first elected to their seats between 1992 and 1995, and have faced little contest in previous elections. In 2010, Supervisors Ron Roberts and Bill Horn faced candidates in a fall run-off election for the first time, but defeated their opponents by margins of 13.8 and 6.2 percentage points, respectively.

Aguirre said the lack of public interest in the process is because its initial stages, when the public explanatory meetings are held, are too vague.

Instead of the council asking for public input and then creating redistricting plans, he suggested the council create a series of potential maps and then present them to the public for comment.

“Telling a community to come up with a map of how the districts should be provided is like if I told you to come up with an architectural drawing of your house,” he said. “You’d say, ‘I’m not an architect, how do I know how my house is designed,’ and I’d say, ‘Well, it’s your house.’”

Members of the redistricting council themselves commented repeatedly on the complexity of the process during their meeting Monday, the first of five in which they will hash out the details of their proposals.

Andrea Skorepa, one of the council members, said it was difficult to read out loud her plans for neighborhoods that should be redistricted, and suggested showing maps at the next meeting instead.

“Some of us are visual learners, and some of us are auditory learners,” she said.

“And some of us are slow learners,” joked Michel Anderson, the council chair.

At the meeting, each council member made initial suggestions for changes to supervisor districts, which Anderson stressed repeatedly were “for discussion purposes only.”

Suggestions included switching Carlsbad and University City to District 3; converting Ocean Beach, Mission Beach, Pacific Beach and Crown Point to District 1; adding Scripps Ranch, Rancho Bernardo, Carmel Mountain Ranch, Sabre Springs and San Carlos to District 2; and changing the boundary in downtown San Diego to include City Hall in District 4.

These suggestions were made to work toward the ultimate goal of reducing the population size of Districts 1, 3 and 5 and increasing the size of Districts 2 and 4 to bring each district as close as possible to the population target of 619,000, Anderson said.

Anderson said these specific suggestions were made mostly because the communities are on the boundaries between two districts, so it is “natural to look at adjoining and adjacent communities.”

But he also said there is some “undercurrent of tension” in the negotiations.

“I think what we will find ultimately is that people are resistant to give up certain communities and neighborhoods, and others will be anxious to pick up communities and neighborhoods,” he said.

Skorepa and Deanna Weeks, another council member, submitted their suggestions to county staff in writing and both asked if they could meet with staff members one-on-one to help them plan out their proposals.

While Anderson said those one-on-one sessions would not violate public meeting laws, he stressed the need to keep as much of the process as possible public.

“The more we all can do in public the better,” he said. “For meeting individually with staff, the less we do the better.”

This transparency is important, Anderson said, so that “the public realizes we are giving directions to staff, that we are the ones creating the maps, not the staff.”

Aguirre said the redistricting council will need the experience and knowledge of the county staff to draw up proposals with enough sophistication to address the county’s voting needs, but said that reliance on staff is a double-edged sword.

“Staff is in complete control of the process, and the staff answers to supervisors,” he said.

Anderson agreed that there is a balance between using county staff’s knowledge and expertise without allowing them to run the process, and said it was important for him to show that the staff was not in control.

“I don’t want in any way want to create the perception that staff is driving the process,” he said. “It’s the best thing for all involved to be very clear the Redistricting Advisory Council is driving the process.”

No potential redistricting maps were drawn before Monday's meeting, Anderson said. But he also said there is no rule against council members talking to county supervisors, and that he has spoken to them during the process so far.

"We have no gag rule, we can talk to anybody and everybody," he said. "And supervisors have the ultimate decision-making authority, we are strictly advisory, so they have the final say in the matter."

During the last redistricting process in 2001, the supervisors ignored the suggestions of the advisory committee, of which Anderson was also a member.

The council will meet four more times, on May 16 and June 2, 9 and 13, before submitting their proposals to the Board of Supervisors.

No more evening meetings are scheduled for public comment, but Anderson said there will be "ample time for the public to comment on everything."

While Aguirre said the council should create their proposals and present them to the public for comment, Anderson said he did not know if the council would have enough time.

"I can't predict with very much accuracy at which meeting we will narrow down our proposals to one, two, or three," Anderson said. "Ideally we'll have everything done by June 9, but I don't know if that's possible."

He also said the public would also have the opportunity to speak directly to the Board of Supervisors at their meetings in the fall when the new redistricting plan comes up for a vote.

But for critics like Aguirre, this is not enough.

"There are a lot of red flags that something is going on behind the scenes," he said. "The supervisors will rig the system to get elected indefinitely if they can."

Who's on the Redistricting Advisory Council?

Adam Day, appointed by Bill Horn
Executive for the Sycuan Band of the Kumeyaay Nation
Board of Directors for San Diego Taxpayers Association
Board of Directors for the Del Mar Fairgrounds
Past chairman of the San Diego County Planning Commission and a board member of the Downtown San Diego Partnership

Michel Anderson, appointed by Ron Roberts
Owner of **Michel Anderson Associates**
Board member of Employment & Community Options, The San Diego Foundation and Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment Systems, all non-profit organizations
Member of the City of San Diego Real Estate Advisory Committee

Andrea Skorepa, appointed by Greg Cox

Executive director of Casa Familiar, a social services agency
In 1991, lost to Bob Filner in a race for San Diego City Council's District 8 after a redistricting fight created a new Latino majority in that district. Skorepa wanted to become the first Latino elected to the council, the *Los Angeles Times* reported.

Deanna Weeks, appointed by Dianne Jacob
Former president and CEO of the San Diego East County Chamber of Commerce
Former president of the Board of Trustees of the Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District
Was endorsed by Congressman Duncan Hunter in her re-election campaign for president of the board of trustees.

Dennis Ridz, appointed by Pam Slater-Price
Chairman of the Torrey Pines Community Planning Board.

Slater-Price's original choice, Bruce Reznik, resigned to work in Sacramento.

Reznik was the former director of the environmental group Coastkeeper.

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 6:29 PM
To: Valerie Sanfilippo
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L; Haas, Mikel
Subject: RE: Redistrict

Dear Ms. Sanfilippo,

Thank you for your email about City of San Diego and County of San Diego redistricting. Your comments will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA

Redistricting Project Manager

County of San Diego

(619) 531-5276

redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Valerie Sanfilippo [mailto:vsanfi@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 3:39 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Redistrict

LEG - TO CITY/ COUNTY REDISTRICT COMMISSIONERS

Hello, Commission & citizens, I am a union member, condo owner in Linda Vista on border of Clairemont/Kearny Mesa, and low-income transit rider, here are my suggestions for redistricting City/County of San Diego:

-DEMOCRATIC MAJORITY:

-The City is 60% Democratic, should have at least 5 of 9 seats on City Council.

-The County is 52% Democratic, should have 3 of 5 seats on Board of Supervisors.

-I want my district to be safe with stable and secure Democratic representation of my working class values and districts based on income and political party.

-I oppose divided districts, 'competitive' really means gerrymandered to include enough Republicans in a Democratic district to give them an unfair advantage of money and candidates in elections.

-Please note the Republicans cheated the census and be on the lookout for this.

-There are no nonpartisans in an era of criminal Republican persecution of workers, Democrats and government itself.

REDISTRICTING:

-The City should be redistricted roughly East to West, North to South.

-The Ranchos should be deleted from the city, they are on the geographical level of Encinitas and should be in North County, they are suburbs of Escondido not San Diego.

-The city should include National City and Chula Vista, these governments should be combined, these areas are more like city than the far-flung suburbs to the north.

-The County government should be folded into the City government to save on costs.

I favor the following City Districts:

2 Northern Districts -

-La Jolla, Carmel, Torrey, University City including UCSD (Rep)..

-Sorrento Mesa, Mira Mesa, Scripps Ranch, Miramar (Rep).

3 Central Districts:

-Beaches-Pacific Beach, Mission Beach/Bay, Ocean Beach, Pt. Loma (Dem).

-Clairemont, Bay Park, Kearny Mesa, Serra Mesa, Linda Vista (Dem)

-Mission Valley, Grantville, Allied Gardens, Lake Murray (Rep).

And 4 Southern Districts:

-Downtown, Hillcrest, North Park, South Park (Rep).

-City Heights, Kensington, Talmadge, College including SDSU (Dem).

-Logan, (Annex National City), San Ysidro (Dem).

-Southeast - Oak Park, Encanto, Lomita, Emerald, Valencia, Paradise (Dem).

-I support a 2nd Latino seat on the City Council.

-I support an expanded Afro-American district.

-Asians do not deserve their own seat, they already are over-represented by Republican domination.

The County should be redistricted as follows:

-1 seat for North County to include Encinitas (Rep).

- 1 seat for East County (Rep.)
- 2 seats for San Diego - 1 San Diego North (Dem).
- 1 seat for San Diego South which can include National City (Dem.)
- 1 seat for South Bay (Dem.)

ELECTION VOTE:

- Redistricting is very important as our vote has been cheated since at least 2004 with Republican-appointed Registrars of Voters, and criminal Diebold voting computers, including:
 - Mayor Donna Frye 3 times, illegally bubbling in votes and by exit polls;
 - Rep. Francine Busby twice, with blocked recount, premature swearing in and police harassment.
 - I saw Prop. 8 anti-gay flipped on TV, while Prop 4 anti-woman was defeated by same margin.
 - I saw City Council Howard Wayne's vote instantly widened on TV to avoid a recount.
 - The Proposition for Public Campaign Finance polled 60%, was bipartisan, but lost.
 - I suspect Supervisor Richard Becerra and Stephen Whitburn's votes were flipped, as they lost by $\frac{2}{3}$ in my district which is $\frac{2}{3}$ Democratic.
 - I also suspect the vote for the Prop. to make a 9th City district was hacked, as many props are programmed to go 2 to 1 or even 3 to 1.
 - The 1st time the City Council went Democratic, Republicans frivolously prosecuted 3 Councilmen out of office, killing one, and a Judge had to restore another.
 - The Registrar of Voters needs to be an elected position, and with fair redistricting at the County level, we can accomplish this democratic reform.

REDISTRICTING COMMISSION:

- I saw the City Redistrict Commission appointed by all-Republican Judges, on the last ballot all dozen plus Judges were Republican, there is lack of opportunity for Democratic Judges.
- However, I feel the City Redistrict Commission is liberal in their public outreach.
- The County process I could not see because County allowed cable to remove public access from basic cable, and the County Commissioners have not reached out to the public.
- The final decision by all-Republican Board of Supervisors is of course not democratically fair.
- If either Commission is made up of equal Democrat, Republican and Independent, the Independents need to include equal Progressives, as normally Independents are libertarians who lean Republican.

ISSUES:

With redistricting to a fair Democratic majority, important issues can be addressed:

- Shelter for the homeless psychiatric vets and others dying on the streets, being tortured in jail and murdered by police.
- Legalization and unionization for farmworkers dying in the fields and being tortured and killed in jails.
- Restoration of mass transit and library schedules for Sunday and Monday shopping and computer business.
- Affordable housing and medical care for low-income workers.
- Public pensions protected and invested in government bonds, not anti-worker stocks.
- Sewer treatment for clean beaches and conservation of water.

Please do the right thing and make San Diego a more democratic place.

You are not just drawing lines, you're saying lives.

Thank you for your service, and I am in favor of compensation for you.

--

Regards, Val Sanfilippo,
Seiu, Sierra, Moveon.

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 6:31 PM
To: Mateo R. Camarillo
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: Redistricting Public Input

Dear Mr. Camarillo,

Thank you for your email in support of the redistricting plan submitted by Denise Moreno Ducheny. Your comments will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Mateo R. Camarillo [<mailto:mateorc1@cox.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 5:31 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Cc: mateorc1@cox.net
Subject: Redistricting Public Input

My name is Mateo E. Camarillo. I live in San Diego County, 4977 Kensington Dr. SD CA 92116. I'm chairman of the Latino Redistricting Committee composed of over 40 residents of San Diego County. We enthusiastically support the Redistricting map being submitted today by Denise Moreno Ducheny which complies with your Charter, the US Constitution, 1-man 1 vote and relevant Supreme Court Decisions, and the US Voting Rights Act. Thank you very much for considering our public input. Mateo R. Camarillo, (619) 719-6647, mateorc1@cox.net.

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 6:34 PM
To: mateorc1@cox.net
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: RE: County Redistricting

Dear Mr. Camarillo,

Thank you for your email in support of the redistricting plan submitted by Denise Moreno Ducheny. I am sorry for any difficulty with your previous email. Your comments are still welcome and your messages will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

-----Original Message-----

From: mateorc1@cox.net [<mailto:mateorc1@cox.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 5:46 PM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Fwd: RE: County Redistricting

My name is Mateo Camarillo, 4977 Kensington Drive, SD CA .92116, mateorc1@cox.net, (619) 719-6647. I wrote to the Redistricting Committee yesterday, the deadline to communicate with them. My e-mail came back. I was testifying that I'm the Chairman of the San Diego Latino Redistricting Committee and we support the map submitted by Denise Moreno Ducheny which complies with all your requirements, including the US Voting Rights Act, the 1-man 1-vote Rule, the US Constitution and the County Charter. Thank you. Mateo R. Camarillo

Potter, Andrew

From: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 10:37 AM
To: Matt Kalla
Cc: Potter, Andrew; Pettingill, William L
Subject: RE: Supervisor Cox
Attachments: image001.png

Dear Mr. Kalla,

Thank you for your email about keeping District 1 intact. Your comments will be shared with the Redistricting Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,

Nicole Cretelle Temple, MBA
Redistricting Project Manager
County of San Diego
(619) 531-5276
redistricting2011@sdcounty.ca.gov



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Matt Kalla [<mailto:matt.kalla.jxe5@statefarm.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 10:25 AM
To: CSG, Redistricting 2011
Subject: Supervisor Cox

Good morning,

I would like to voice my opinion on behalf of the Peninsula Chamber of Commerce. I am currently the President of the Chamber. The Chamber feels it is critical that Supervisor Cox remains in District 1. He has been vital to the success of the Point Loma/Ocean Beach community as well as managing the shoreline of the San Diego Bay. This would be a mistake to draw a line through the district and subdivide what Supervisor Cox has successfully managed.

The whole coastline of San Diego bay is very unique and requires a person who is familiar with all the current issues and uses. Supervisor Cox has worked very hard to develop those relations with the Military, Port Authority and civilians not to mention the Yacht Clubs as well.

Please consider strongly not to disrupt the Supervisors district and keep district 1 intact.

Regards,

Matt

Matt Kalla, State Farm Agent

Providing Insurance and Financial Services

✉ Address 4148 Voltaire Street San Diego, CA 92107

☎ Phone 619-223-1629

☎ Fax 619-223-8437

✉ Email Matt@MattKalla.com

💻 Web www.mattkalla.com



[Follow me on Facebook](#)

May 5, 2011

4215 Allen School Lane
Bonita CA 91902

Chairman Michel Anderson
San Diego County Redistricting Committee
1600 Pacific Highway Room 201
San Diego CA 92101

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2011 MAY 12 AM 11 48

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS

Dear Chairman Anderson,


As long time residents and advocates for Bonita we are sending this letter requesting that Bonita remain in District 1. It is our understanding that the current redistricting may move Bonita to District 2. Bonita is contiguous to Chula Vista, National City and other smaller communities around the Sweetwater Valley. We think Bonita's interests are best aligned with these communities that are west of the SR125 versus the East County.

District 1 is under Supervisor Greg Cox and we appreciate the support he has given us in recognizing and maintaining the character of our rural/urban community with higher density, open spaces (ie Morrison Pond and riparian habit), unique parks, library, Community Center and a proactive trail system. He has been instrumental in all these projects and is highly respected and appreciated by our community. He has done an outstanding job supporting the Bonita Sunnyside Library and his former position as Mayor of Chula Vista helps the community immeasurably.

Greg Cox has provided focus and funding for our community and we would appreciate the Redistricting Committees keep Bonita aligned with its neighboring cities under the jurisdiction of District 1.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

The block contains two handwritten signatures in black ink. The first signature, on the left, is 'Irene L. Blacklock' and the second, on the right, is 'Rick Blacklock'. Both signatures are fluid and cursive.

Rick & Irene Blacklock

Friends of the Bonita-Sunnyside Library


Irene Blacklock
President

"Friends" Bookstore
4375 Bonita Road
Bonita, CA 91902-1351
Phone: 619-479-9489
Fax: 619-470-2252

Mr. & Mrs. Rick Blacklock
4215 Allen School Lane
Bonita, CA 91902


SAN DIEGO, CA 921

06 MAY 11 PM 11 T



Chairman Michel Anderson
San Diego County Redistricting Committee
1600 Pacific Highway Room 201
San Diego, CA 92101

92101+2422



COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2011 MAY 12 AM 11 48

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS

Chairman Michel Anderson
San Diego County Redistricting Committee
1600 Pacific Highway Room 201
San Diego CA 92101

Dear Chairman Anderson,

As President of our local Equestrian organization and as an advocate for Equestrian and trail related issues in Bonita I am sending this letter requesting that Bonita remains in District 1. It is my understanding that the current redistricting may move Bonita to District 2. Bonita is contiguous to Chula Vista, National City and other smaller communities around the Sweetwater Valley. I think Bonita's interests are best aligned with these communities that are west of the SR125 versus the East County.

District 1 is under Supervisor Greg Cox and I appreciate the support he has given us in recognizing and maintaining the character of our rural/urban community with higher density, open spaces (i.e. Morrison Pond and riparian habit), unique parks, library, Community Center and a proactive trail system. He has been instrumental in all these projects and is highly respected and appreciated by all the members of our equestrian and trail user community.

Greg Cox has provided focus and funding for my community and I would appreciate the Redistricting Committees keep Bonita aligned with its neighboring cities under the jurisdiction of District 1.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,
Mark Kukuchek
President, Bonita Valley Horsemen


Mr. Mark Kukuchek
4208 Acacia Ave
Bonita, CA 91902



CHARMAN MICHEL ANDERSON
SAN DIEGO COUNTY REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE
1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY ROOM 201
SAN DIEGO, CA.

92101

32101+2422





Proposed Redistricting Plan Submittal

County of San Diego
2011 Supervisorial Districts

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
2011 MAY 12 AM 11 48

SUBMITTER'S INFORMATION

Date 05/09/2011
Name Roger Boyd Title Private citizen
Organization City of Solana Beach Resident
Address 1304 Via Mil Cumbres
City Solana Beach State CA Zip 92075
Phone (858) 481-7547 Phone Extension _____
Email: keyroger@roadrunner.com

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS

NOTE: Information provided is part of public record and subject to disclosure.

PLAN TITLE

Boundary change - District #3/#5

PLAN ELEMENTS

Narrative to be completed by the proposed plan author(s). Attach additional pages if needed.

Describe your proposed plan and note any data sources used.

It is suggested that the San Diego County District #3 boundary be changed to include San Dieguito park, and removed from District #5.

This suggestion is in compliance with redistricting criteria stating "give consideration to consideration to cohesive, contiguity, integrity and compactness of territory".

If this suggestion is accepted it will provide a friendlier environment for establishing safe pedestrian entrances to the park for City of Solana Beach citizens and those county residents living in close proximity. At this time there are no safe pedestrian access routes into the park and it is unsafe and thereby not conducive to general public use.

Roger Boyd
5/9/11

Mr. Roger Boyd
1304 Via Mil Cumbres
Solana Beach, CA 92075-1729

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

[illegible]